## Integrity Processing Series 8RA

## THE TECH AND ETHICS OF

## INTEGRITY PROCESSING

(Complied from a Briefing to 3rd Mate and
4th Mate Flag given by L. RON HUBBARD.)

HCO is primarily interested in JUSTICE.

The method of justice practiced in the 17th and 18th
Centuries was to catch the offenders and hang them, thus
keeping the countryside "quiet".

Although useful as a method of quieting things down,
however, it doesn't do people any good to be hung!  You
will find the remedy expressed in this rule:

WHEN YOU GIVE INTEGRITY PROCESSING TO A PERSON
WITHOUT FINDING THE EARLIER BASIC, YOU HANG THEM.

If you can't chase back an Integrity Processing ques-
tion to an F/N you are going to get continuous Ethics
trouble from that person from then on until it is remedied.

When you give a guy Integrity Processing and it
doesn't produce anything and the needle is clean you should
indicate that the Integrity Processing was unnecessary.
You will probably get an F/N.

HCO's interest in someone is normally in what is
going on, what is he up to NOW.  So one tends to omit to
ask how come this guy has been committing overts for the
past two-and-a-half years - the same ones - and it is
still going on?  Back in that earlier zone is one hell
of an overt, continuous overts against Scientology Ⓡ or
LRH.  So what is it?  You should trace it back and you
could find a dilly!

It's the EARLIEST item available on that chain that
will get the F/N.  And remember that overts of Omission
are always preceded by overts of COmission.  So you should
ask yourself "How come all these overts of omission?"
There's an earlier overt of comission, you can be sure.

This gives us another rule:

IF YOU CANNOT F/N A QUESTION, YOU HAVEN'T GOT IT.

Now it could be the buttons are out (invalidate,
protest, action unnecessary).  Did you know you can beef
up a TA (send it up high) by doing an unnecessary action?

It acts somewhat like forcing a wrong item on a pc.  It
puts him on a protest, a rejection and an effort to stop
the action.  That is where a lot of the unpopularity of
earlier techniques stem from.

Of the rudiments ARC Break, problem, withhold, Integrity
Processing specialises in overts and withholds. So the full
panorama of Integrity Processing buttons is Ruds plus False,
Suppress, Invalidate, Evaluate, Protest, Unnecessary.  So
if the TA goes up during Integrity Processing you should
check buttons.  If it doesn't handle rapidly and easily
revert to the *LIRA (Integ Repair List)*.

IF YOU CAN'T GET AN F/N ON INTEGRITY PROCESSING
AND HAVE TO END SESSION YOU MUST HAVE A LINE TO
QUAL THAT CLEANS IT UP WITHIN 24 HOURS.

Every time an Integrity Processing action won't fly
it has got to be a 24 hour urgent repair.  The Integrity
Processing Repair List consists of the ruds and buttons.

People ARC Break with the physical universe, with
fellow men, feel wronged in some way and have to take it
out on somebody, and so commit the overt.  But the some-
body they attack is not the source of the upset.  They
mis-identify the source.  If their think was straight they
would be able to see what the score was and have no charge
on it.

An overt therefore is preceded by an ARC Break, and
you will find an ARC Break is the result of a problem.

An overt therefore is preceded by an ARC Break, and
you will find an ARC Break is the result of a problem.

So each time you don't take a question to F/N you
run up against this.  This gives another way for them to
get unpopular.  But if it didn't F/N, you also know it
was necessary to give the person Integrity Processing!

If you give a person Integrity Processing and you
see a trail of catastrophes in that person's wake after-
wards you know it didn't fly.  Similarly a person who makes
huge overts out of every little action, which is in essence
self-invalidation, has behind that somewhere a huge overt -
big enough to set the police of several galaxies after them!

If it doesn't F/N you haven't got it!

## THE E-METER AND THE CRIMINAL

The joker in all this is that the E-Meter reads on
Reality.  So you can have a guy who reads on none of your
questions, but you find out the next day he had done
exactly what you asked him.  Yet it didn't read!  A real
criminal just doesn't read on having killed his grand-
mother in cold blood five minutes before the Processing.
Even if he admits it it doesn't read!  But a real criminal
won't clear and won't F/N.  Occasionally they will RS.

You have to handle it on a gradient of reality.  "Why wasn't that an overt?" is one way you could try.  He would at first be very surprised at the very thought of it being an overt.  But you could get a stream of justifications off. Another way is to magnify the overt.  You can use that on a "no-overt" case.

The Tech of it belongs in the field of auditing.

Anytime Integrity Processing is done the session reports must go into the pc folder otherwise the C/S can make an error in C/Sing because of the omitted data.

One does not do Integrity Processing in the middle of other auditing rundowns.  The action therefore requires C/S clearance.

## HCO AND CASE GAIN

(See HCO PL 20 July 1970
Cases & Morale of Staff)

The percentile of people who have case gain will be proportional to the level of moral in your Org.  So it is of interest to HCO to ask the C/S how many no-case-gain cases he has (Pile 4) trace them down and isolate them. The names of those not doing well (Piles 2 and 3) should also be known and the numbers so you can make sure the greater percentage is getting good case gain.

HCO can get trouble stemming from lack of staff case progress.  For instance you find an Exec giving excuses for not doing his job.  It can be due to a no-case-gain under him enturbulating seniors and associates.  They in turn, not recognizing him as the source of the enturbulation, buy the stops and the "can't be dones" and find some other excuse as to why not to do their job.  Recognize that when someone dumps his hat on you he has overts, man!

An Executive instead of reporting that people don't want to work in his division should be asking "How come they don't want to work in the division?"

Things will get better to the degree that such cases producing stops and "can'ts" have a line for them to be handled on.

Begin a campaign to get all these cases winning.

If there is any query as to which of the four categories of case folders (per HCO PL 20 July 70) a person belongs on, it goes on the one lower.  For instance a category (Pile 2) queried as to status immediately becomes Pile Three.

Pile 4 cases are given Integrity Processing.  Such processing is however not limited to such cases.

It is extremely valuable processing to raise the
cause level of staff, students and others.

Compiled from LRH Briefings
and Materials by
Lt. Cmdr. Brian Livingston

Reissued as BTB by
Flag Mission 1234

Revised by CS-4

Approved by
Commodore's Staff Aides
and The Board of Issues

for the

BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY